REMARKS

Favorable reconsideration of this application as presently amended and in light of the following remarks is respectfully requested.

After entry of the present amendment, Claims 1-20 are pending in the present application. The present amendment amends Claims 1, 5, 13, and 16 without introduction of new matter.¹

In the outstanding Office Action, Claims 1, 3-6, 8-13, 15-17, and 19-20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,121,981 to <u>Trower</u>, II et al. (hereinafter "<u>Trower</u>"); and Claims 2, 7, 14, and 18 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as unpatentable over <u>Trower</u> in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,628,303 to <u>Foreman et al.</u> (hereinafter "Foreman").

Turning now to the rejection of all pending Claims as anticipated by or unpatentable over <u>Trower</u>, those rejections are respectfully traversed.

Though they are different in scope, each of amended independent Claims 1, 5, 13, and 16 recites, *inter alia*, a first window, based on a predetermined software, formed on a display screen; a second window, which displays a moving picture, formed as a child window of the first window and within a range of a display region of the first window; and a third window formed as a child window of the second window and within a range of a display region of the second window. The remaining claims depend from Claims 1, 5, 13, and 16.

The outstanding Office Action cites <u>Trower</u> as teaching the invention of Claims 1, 5, 13, and 16; and cites <u>Foreman</u> as teaching only the pausing of a playback (with respect to Claims 2, 7, 14, and 18).

Applicants submit that neither <u>Trower</u> nor <u>Foreman</u> teaches the added limitation of the second window formed within a range of a display region of the first window; or teaches

¹ For support, see Applicant's specification, page 13, line 24 – page 14, line 7.

Reply to Office Action of April 4, 2005

the third window formed within a range of a display region of the second window.

Applicants further submit that Foreman does not cure this deficiency of <u>Trower</u>.

Accordingly, for the above-noted reasons, Applicants respectfully request that the rejections of all pending claims as anticipated by or unpatentable over <u>Trower</u> be withdrawn.

Consequently, in light of the above discussion and in view of the present amendment, the present application is believed to be in condition for allowance. An early and favorable action to that effect is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Customer Number

22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000 Fax: (703) 413 -2220 (OSMMN 06/04)

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Eckhard H. Kuesters Attorney of Record Registration No. 28,870

I:\atty\Std\21's\218436US\218436US-AM.040405.doc